

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CO	NFIRMATION NO.
09/800,195	03/06/2001	Suk H. Cho	09143-017001	3370
7:	07/03/2002		\$ \$ \$	
RICHARD J. ANDERSON Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A. Suite 3300			EXAMINER	
			EVANS, CHARES	SSE L
60 South Sixth Minneapolis, M	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ţ	ART UNIT P	APER NUMBER
moupons, re			1615 ; DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			CHO ET AL.			
		09/800,195				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Charesse L. Evans	orrespondence address			
Period fo			orrosponasinos dadross			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	Narch 2001 .				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office					

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 are pending in this Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1-13, 16-19 and 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaynor et al (US 5,904,924). The claims are directed to a dietary supplement comprising a grape skin extract and a grape seed extract.

Gaynor discloses a nutritional powder comprised of a grape seed extract and a grape skin extract. The grape seed extract is standardized to 95% polyphenols. While the reference does not expressly teach applicant's claimed ratio, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration is critical. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

The food supplement of the referenced invention further contains blue-green algae, which is a source of quercitin, Japanese Green Tea, standardized to 7.5% catechins predominantly as epigallocatechin gallate, bilberry, standardized to 25% anthocyanocides and ginkgo biloba, standardized to 24% gingkoflavoglycosides (column 4).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the teachings of Gaynor with the expectation that the components of the referenced composition have been conventionally employed for

Art Unit: 1615

their known functions or nutritional benefit, such as antioxidant and anti-tumor activity.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkes (WO 99/07400).

The disclosed invention provides a dietary supplement comprising an enzyme that is effective for inhibiting in vivo platelet activity and LDL cholesterol oxidation in a mammal at a dosage of about 30 mg/kg or less. The supplement may contain grape seed extracts, grape skin extracts, bilberry extracts, gingko biloba extracts or quercetin. The supplement may also contain fungal proteases, acid stable proteases and bromelain (Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perkes (WO 99/07400). The disclosed invention provides a dietary supplement comprising an enzyme that is effective for inhibiting in vivo platelet activity and LDL cholesterol

Art Unit: 1615

oxidation in a mammal at a dosage of about 30 mg/kg or less. The supplement may contain grape seed extracts, grape skin extracts, bilberry extracts, gingko biloba extracts or quercetin. The supplement may also contain fungal proteases, acid stable proteases and bromelain (Abstract). Perkes teaches every aspect of applicant's claimed invention. Thus, Perkes anticipates claims 1-34.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charesse L. Evans whose telephone number is 703-308-6400. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00a - 4:30p; Alternating Fridays 7:00a - 3:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,195 Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

cle June 28, 2002

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600